arXiv:1204.1684v1 [gr-qc] 7 Apr 2012

Equivalence between the Weyl-tensor and gauge-invariant graviton two-point functions in Minkowski and de Sitter spaces

Atsushi Higuchi*
Department of Mathematics, University of York, Heslington, York YO10 5DD, United Kingdom

(Dated: April 7, 2012)

Revised and extended version of a talk given at the Second Workshop on Quantum Field Theory, August 24-27, 2011, in São Luís, Brazil, based on a joint work with Christopher J. Fewster.

The two-point Wightman function of the free photon field defined in a gauge-invariant manner is known to be equivalent to the field-strength two-point function in any spacetime that is topologically trivial. We show that the gauge-invariant graviton two-point function defined in a similar manner is equivalent to the Weyl-tensor two-point function in Minkowski space and in the Poincaré patch of de Sitter space. This implies that in the Poincaré patch of de Sitter space the gauge-invariant graviton two-point function decays like (distance)$^{-4}$ as a function of coordinate distance for spacelike separation.

I. INTRODUCTION

The inflationary cosmology [1–6], which assumes an era of exponential expansion in very early universe, has been the leading candidate in addressing various conceptual issues in the standard big-bang cosmology such as the flatness and causality problems. The exponentially expanding universe in inflationary cosmology is approximately the expanding half of de Sitter space. For this reason quantum field theory in de Sitter space has been studied recently by many authors. In particular, the infrared (IR) properties of the graviton two-point function in this spacetime has been a subject of lively debate over the past three decades [7–14].

The two-point function in the transverse-traceless-synchronous gauge in the Poincaré patch, i.e. the spatially-flat expanding half of de Sitter space, is IR divergent in the sense that it is not well-defined unless the IR cutoff is inserted [7]. This fact and other observations have led some authors to claim that there is no de Sitter-invariant vacuum state for linearized gravity [12, 14] in spite of the fact that IR-finite two-point functions have been constructed in other gauges [15–18].

The graviton two-point function also diverges or tends to a constant as the separation of the two points tends to infinity both in the spacelike and timelike directions [9]. No gauge conditions are known that make the graviton two-point function tend to zero as the separation of the two points becomes infinite. If linearized gravity were not a gauge theory, this behavior of the two-point function would imply that the graviton field had strong long-distance correlation. However, since linearized gravity *is* a gauge theory, one needs to characterize the long-distance correlation in a gauge-invariant manner. For example, some cosmologists argue that the logarithmic growth of the two-point function for graviton as well as for minimally-coupled massless scalar field cannot be observed [19–24]. However, there is no consensus in the scientific community about the physical significance of the long-distance behavior of the graviton two-point function (see, e.g., Refs. [25, 26]).

Recently a gauge-invariant graviton two-point function has been formulated [27] following a similar construction for electromagnetic field [28, 29]. The main purpose of this paper is to show that this graviton two-point function reduces to that of the Weyl tensor in the Poincaré patch of de Sitter space, which decays at large distances.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we motivate and introduce the gauge-invariant graviton two-point function. In Secs. III and IV we show the equivalence of the Weyl-tensor and gauge-invariant graviton two-point functions in Minkowski and de Sitter spaces. Then, we discuss our result in Sec. V. In the Appendix we present a technical result used in Sec. III.

II. GAUGE-INVARIANT GRAVITON TWO-POINT FUNCTION

Let us quickly introduce the gauge-invariant graviton two-point function proposed in Ref. [27] in a heuristic manner. To this end we first briefly discuss quantization of the free graviton field. We linearize the gravitational field about a globally-hyperbolic spacetime satisfying the vacuum Einstein equations with or without a cosmological constant. We write the Lagrangian density for the linearized gravitational field, or the graviton field, h_{ab} as

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{2}\left[K^{abca'b'c'}\nabla_a h_{bc}\nabla_{a'}h_{b'c'} + S^{aba'b'}h_{ab}h_{a'b'}\right], \tag{1}$$

where $K^{abca'b'c'} = K^{a'b'c'abc} = K^{acba'b'c'}$ and $S^{aba'b'} = S^{a'b'ab} = S^{baa'b'}$. We define the conjugate momentum current as

$$p^{abc} := K^{abca'b'c'}\nabla_{a'}h_{b'c'}, \tag{2}$$

then the Euler-Lagrange field equation for h_{bc} is

$$-\nabla_a p^{abc} + S^{bcb'c'}h_{b'c'} = 0. \tag{3}$$

---

* atsushi.higuchi@york.ac.uk

For any two solutions, $h^{(n)}_{bc}$ and $h^{(m)}_{bc}$, and their conjugate momentum currents, $p^{(n)abc}$ and $p^{(m)abc}$, we define the symplectic product as

$$(h^{(n)}, h^{(m)})_{\rm symp} := \int_\Sigma d\Sigma n_a (h^{(n)}_{bc} p^{(m)abc} - p^{(n)abc} h^{(m)}_{bc}), \tag{4}$$

where Σ is any Cauchy surface and where n^a is the past-directed normal to Σ. One can readily see that this product is conserved, i.e. independent of the Cauchy surface Σ [30, 31]. The symplectic product is degenerate because any tensor of the form $h^{(g)}_{ab} = \nabla_a \Lambda_b + \nabla_b \Lambda_a$ is a solution to Eq. (3) and $(h^{(g)}, h^{(m)})_{\rm symp} = 0$ for all solutions $h^{(m)}_{ab}$. This means that the symplectic product is gauge invariant, i.e. if $h^{(n)}_{ab}$ and $h^{(m)}_{ab}$ are two solutions and if $h^{(n')}_{ab} = h^{(n)}_{ab} + \nabla_a \Lambda^{(n)}_b + \nabla_b \Lambda^{(n)}_a$ for some $\Lambda^{(n)}_a$ and similarly for $h^{(m')}_{ab}$, then $(h^{(n)}, h^{(m)})_{\rm symp} = (h^{(n')}, h^{(m')})_{\rm symp}$.

In the spacetimes we are interested in, it is possible to impose gauge conditions such that the symplectic product is nondegenerate on the space of solutions satisfying them [27]. We expand the quantum field $\hat{h}_{ab}(x)$ in terms of a complete set of solutions $h^{(n)}_{ab}(x)$ satisfying these gauge conditions: $\hat{h}_{ab}(x) = \sum_n \hat{a}_n h^{(n)}_{ab}(x)$. The field $\hat{h}_{ab}(x)$ is quantized through the commutation relations $[\hat{a}_n, \hat{a}_m] = (\Omega^{-1})_{nm}$, where Ω^{-1} is the inverse of the matrix $\Omega^{nm} := (h^{(n)}, h^{(m)})_{\rm symp}$.

The field operator $\hat{h}_{ab}(x)$ changes under gauge transformations, but the operators $\hat{a}_n$ are invariant since they can be expressed using the gauge-invariant symplectic product as $\hat{a}_n = (\Omega^{-1})_{nm}(h^{(m)}, \hat{h})_{\rm symp}$. Thus, all gauge-invariant content of the field $\hat{h}_{ab}(x)$ can be extracted if $(h^{(n)}, \hat{h})_{\rm symp}$ are known for all solutions $h^{(n)}_{ab}(x)$. In fact it is sufficient for this symplectic product to be known for all solutions with compact support on a Cauchy surface Σ.

If the solution $h^{(n)}_{ab}(x)$ is compactly supported on Σ, then one can find a transverse (or divergence-free) tensor $f^{(n)ab}(x)$ compactly supported in spacetime such that $(h^{(n)}, \hat{h})_{\rm symp} = (f^{(n)}, \hat{h})_{\rm st}$, where

$$(f^{(n)}, \hat{h})_{\rm st} := \int d^D x \sqrt{-g(x)}\, f^{(n)ab}(x) \hat{h}_{ab}(x). \tag{5}$$

(Here, D is the spacetime dimension.) This can be shown as follows by generalizing the scalar case [32, 33]. We define $h^{(n,\chi)}_{ab}(x) := \chi(x) h^{(n)}_{ab}(x)$, where $\chi(x) = 1$ in the future of Σ and $\chi(x) = 0$ in the past of another Cauchy surface Σ'. The function χ changes its value smoothly from 1 to 0 between Σ and Σ'. Let $p^{(n,\chi)abc}$ be the conjugate momentum current of $h^{(n,\chi)}_{ab}$ as given by Eq. (2) and define

$$f^{(n)bc} := -\nabla_a p^{(n,\chi)abc} + S^{bcb'c'} h^{(n,\chi)}_{b'c'}. \tag{6}$$

Since the right-hand side is the linearized Einstein tensor, the tensor $f^{(n)bc}$ is transverse by the Bianchi identity. Moreover $f^{(n)bc}$ is compactly supported between Σ and Σ' because $h^{(n,\chi)}_{b'c'}$ satisfies the linearized Einstein equations in the future of Σ and vanishes in the past of Σ'. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}(f^{(n)}, \hat{h})_{\rm st} &= \int \sqrt{-g}(-\hat{h}_{bc} \nabla_a p^{(n,\chi)abc} + S^{bcb'c'} \hat{h}_{bc} h^{(n,\chi)}_{b'c'}) \\ &= \int \sqrt{-g} \nabla_a (h^{(n,\chi)}_{bc} \hat{p}^{abc} - p^{(n,\chi)abc} \hat{h}_{bc}).\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Then by the generalized Stokes theorem we find $(f^{(n)}, \hat{h})_{\rm st} = (h^{(n)}, \hat{h})_{\rm symp}$.

This observation implies that one does not lose any gauge-invariant information contained in the Wightman two-point function on a state $|\omega\rangle$,

$$\Delta_{aba'b'}(x, x') = \langle \omega | \hat{h}_{ab}(x) \hat{h}_{a'b'}(x') | \omega \rangle, \tag{8}$$

by considering only its smeared version, which is gauge invariant:

$$\begin{aligned}G_g(f^{(1)}, f^{(2)}) = \int d^D x \sqrt{-g(x)} \int d^D x' \sqrt{-g(x')} \\ \times f^{(1)ab}(x) f^{(2)a'b'}(x') \Delta_{aba'b'}(x, x'),\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where the tensors $f^{(1)ab}$ and $f^{(2)ab}$ are compactly supported and transverse.

Now, for the gauge-invariant two-point function for non-interacting electromagnetic field A_a analogous to Eq. (9), the two-point function $\langle \omega | A_a(x) A_{a'}(x') | \omega \rangle$ is smeared by smooth and compactly-supported transverse vectors $f^{(1)a}(x)$ and $f^{(2)a'}(x')$. If the spacetime is topologically trivial, or contractible, then one can find smooth and compactly-supported antisymmetric tensors $u^{(1)ab}$ and $u^{(2)ab}$ such that $f^{(1)a} = 2\nabla_b u^{(1)ab}$ and $f^{(2)a} = 2\nabla_b u^{(2)ab}$. (It can be shown that the difference between the supports of $u^{(i)ab}$ and $f^{(i)a}$ can be made arbitrarily small.) Then, by integration by parts, the smeared two-point function is shown to be equal to the two-point function of the field-strength tensor, $\langle \omega | F_{ab}(x) F_{a'b'}(x') | \omega \rangle$, $F_{ab} := \nabla_a A_b - \nabla_b A_a$, smeared with $u^{(1)ab}(x)$ and $u^{(2)a'b'}(x')$ [28]. In the next two sections we show that in Minkowski space and in the Poincaré patch of de Sitter space the gauge-invariant graviton two-point function $G_g(f^{(1)}, f^{(2)})$ is equal to the two-point function for the linearized Weyl tensor smeared with compactly-supported tensors.

III. EQUIVALENCE IN MINKOWSKI SPACE

In Minkowski space the linearized Weyl tensor, which equals the linearized Riemann tensor, is given in terms of the graviton field $\hat{h}_{ac}$ as

$$\hat{C}^{\rm flat}_{abcd} = \kappa(\partial_a \partial_{[c} \hat{h}_{d]b} - \partial_b \partial_{[c} \hat{h}_{d]a}), \tag{10}$$

where κ is a constant. Here we use ∂_a instead of ∇_a to emphasize that $[\partial_a, \partial_b] V^c = 0$. Now, suppose that for any smooth and compactly-supported symmetric tensor f^{ac} one can find a smooth and compactly-supported tensor v^{abcd} antisymmetic under $a \leftrightarrow b$ and $c \leftrightarrow d$ and symmetric under $[ab] \leftrightarrow [cd]$ such that $f^{ac} = \partial_b \partial_d v^{abcd}$. Then by substituting $f^{(i)ac} = \partial_b \partial_d v^{(i)abcd}$, $i = 1, 2$, into Eq. (9) and integrating by parts, one finds that $G_g(f^{(1)}, f^{(2)})$ is expressed as the two-point function of the linearized Weyl tensor smeared with $v^{(1)abcd}$ and $v^{(2)a'b'c'd'}$. We show that any smooth and compactly-supported symmetric tensor f^{ac} can indeed be expressed as $f^{ac} = \partial_b \partial_d v^{abcd}$, where v^{abcd} has the properties mentioned above. (In this and next sections all tensors are smooth and compactly supported unless otherwise stated.) It is sufficient to find a tensor u^{abc}, antisymmetric under $a \leftrightarrow b$ and satisfying $\partial_c u^{abc} = 0$ such that $f^{ac} = \partial_b u^{abc}$. This is because then we can write $u^{abc} = \partial_d \tilde{v}^{abcd}$ for some $\tilde{v}^{abcd}$, antisymmetric under $c \leftrightarrow d$ and that the tensor $v^{abcd} = (\tilde{v}^{abcd} + \tilde{v}^{cdab})/2$ will be a tensor with the desired symmetries satisfying $f^{ac} = \partial_b \partial_d v^{abcd}$.

The metric signature plays no rôle in finding the tensor u^{abc} with the properties described above. We work in D-dimensional Euclidean space. We first solve the following equation for γ_{ac}:

$$\partial_b \partial_d Y^{abcd} = f^{ac}, \tag{11}$$

where $Y_{abcd} := -\delta_{a[c}\gamma_{d]b} + \delta_{b[c}\gamma_{d]a} + \delta_{a[c}\delta_{d]b}\gamma$. Here, the tensor δ_{ab} is the metric on the Euclidean space and $\gamma := \delta^{ab}\gamma_{ab}$. (The tensor γ_{ab} is not compactly supported.) Eq. (11) is basically the linearized Euclidean Einstein equations with f^{ac} as the source tensor. We define $U_{abc} := \partial^d Y_{abcd} = \partial_{[a}\gamma_{b]c} - \delta_{c[a}(\partial^d \gamma_{b]d} - \partial_{b]}\gamma)$. Then we have $\partial_b U^{abc} = f^{ac}$ and $\partial_c U^{abc} = 0$. Although the tensor U^{abc} is not compactly supported, we show below that a compactly supported tensor u^{abc} with the same symmetries and satisfying the same differential equations as U^{abc} can be constructed.

We work in polar coordinates with $r^2 := \delta_{ab}x^a x^b$. Since f^{ab} is compactly supported, we have $f^{ab}(x) = 0$ if $r > R$ for some $R > 0$. Then, one can choose a gauge condition such that γ_{ab} is transverse, traceless and with vanishing radial components, $\gamma_{ab}x^b = 0$, for $r > R$. This result is demonstrated in the Appendix. Then $U_{abc} = \partial_{[a}\gamma_{b]c}$. We define the following differential and integral operators on any (non-compactly-supported) tensor Φ (with the indices omitted) decaying faster than r^{-N} for large r:

$$\mathcal{D}_N \Phi := -(x^d \partial_d + N)\Phi, \tag{12}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_N \Phi := r^{-N} \int_r^\infty \rho^{N-1} \Phi \, d\rho, \tag{13}$$

where in Eq. (13) r is replaced by ρ in the integrand. Then $\mathcal{D}_N \mathcal{G}_N \Phi = \mathcal{G}_N \mathcal{D}_N \Phi = \Phi$. We also find

$$\partial^a(\mathcal{G}_N A_a) = \mathcal{G}_{N+1}\partial^a A_a \tag{14}$$

if A_a decays faster than r^{-N} for large r.

Now we define for $r > R$

$$M_{dabc} := \mathcal{G}_{D-3}(x_d U_{abc} + x_a U_{bdc} + x_b U_{dac}). \tag{15}$$

The operator $\mathcal{G}_{D-3}$ is well defined because the tensor γ_{ab} decays like r^{-D} or faster for large r. One can readily show that $\partial^d M_{dabc} = -U_{abc}$. Let $\chi(r)$ be a smooth function defined for $r > R$ such that $\chi(r) = 1$ for $r > R' > R$ and $\chi(r) = 0$ for $R' > r > R'' > R$. Let $u_{abc} := U_{abc} + \partial^d(\chi M_{dabc})$. Then $u_{abc} = 0$ for $r > R'$, i.e. u_{abc} is compactly supported. Since M_{dabc} is antisymmetric in the indices d, a and b, we have $\partial_b u^{abc} = f^{ac}$. Moreover, $\partial_c u^{abc} = 0$ because $\partial_c U^{abc} = 0$ and $\partial^c M_{dabc} = M_{dabc}x^c = 0$. Thus, we have constructed a tensor u^{abc} that satisfies all the properties necessary to show that the gauge-invariant graviton two-point function is equivalent to the linearized Weyl-tensor two-point function as stated at the start of this section.

IV. EQUIVALENCE IN DE SITTER SPACE

First we show that any tensor f^{ab} in de Sitter space can be replaced by an equivalent traceless tensor that gives the same integral in Eq. (5). The linearized Einstein tensor in de Sitter space with Hubble constant H is

$$\begin{aligned} E^{(1)}_{ac}(\gamma) = {} & H^2\gamma_{ac} + \tfrac{D-3}{2}H^2 g_{ac}\gamma \\ & + \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_a \nabla^b \gamma_{bc} + \nabla_c \nabla^b \gamma_{ad} - \nabla_a \nabla_c \gamma \right. \\ & \left. - \Box\gamma_{ac} - g_{ac}\nabla^b\nabla^d\gamma_{bd} + g_{ac}\Box\gamma\right). \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

If γ_{ac} is compactly supported, then

$$\int d^D x \sqrt{-g} E^{(1)ac}(\gamma)\hat{h}_{ac} = \int d^D x \sqrt{-g}\gamma^{ac}E^{(1)}_{ac}(\hat{h}) = 0. \tag{17}$$

That is, f^{ab} and $f^{ab} + E^{(1)ab}(\gamma)$ are equivalent as smearing functions. Now, if we let

$$\gamma^{(t)}_{ab} := \tfrac{2}{(D-1)(D-2)H^2}\left[(D-1)f_{ab} - g_{ab}f\right], \tag{18}$$

where $f := g_{ac}f^{ac}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} E^{(1)}_{ac}(\gamma^{(t)}) = {} & -\tfrac{1}{(D-2)H^2}\left[\Box f_{ac} - \tfrac{1}{D-1}(g_{ac}\Box f - \nabla_a\nabla_c f)\right] \\ & + \tfrac{1}{D-2}\left(2f_{ac} - g_{ac}f\right). \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

The tensor $f_{ac} + E^{(1)}_{ac}(\gamma^{(t)})$ is equivalent to f_{ac} in the sense described above and is traceless. That is, for any f^{ac} there is an equivalent traceless tensor. Therefore, we may assume that f^{ac} is traceless.

The metric of the Poincaré patch of de Sitter space is

$$ds^2 = \Omega^2(-d\eta^2 + d\mathbf{x}^2), \;\; \eta \in (-\infty, 0), \tag{20}$$

where $\Omega = (H|\eta|)^{-1}$. If $\nabla_a f^{ac} = 0$ *and* $f = 0$ in this patch of de Sitter space, then $\partial_a(\Omega^{D+2}f^{ac}) = 0$ [34]. By the result in Minkowski space this implies that we can write $f^{ac} = \Omega^{-D-2}\partial_b\partial_d v^{abcd}$, where v^{abcd} has the symmetry $v^{abcd} = v^{[ab][cd]} = v^{[cd][ab]}$. Hence

$$\int d^D x \sqrt{-g} f^{ac}\hat{h}_{ac} = \int d^D x v^{abcd}\partial_b\partial_d(\Omega^{-2}\hat{h}_{ac}). \tag{21}$$

Denoting the traceless part of v^{abcd} by $v^{(\mathrm{tl})abcd}$ and defining $v^{ac} := \eta_{bd}v^{abcd}$ and $v := \eta_{ac}v^{ac}$, where η_{ac} is the metric on Minkowski space, we find

$$\begin{aligned} v^{abcd} &= v^{(\mathrm{tl})abcd} + \tfrac{2}{D-2}(\eta^{a[c}v^{d]b} - \eta^{b[c}v^{d]a}) \\ &\quad - \tfrac{1}{(D-2)(D-1)}(\eta^{ac}\eta^{bd} - \eta^{ad}\eta^{bc})v. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Let us choose the transverse-traceless-synchronous gauge for $\hat{h}_{ab}$. (This is allowed because the integral (21) is gauge invariant.) Then many terms drop out upon substitution of Eq. (22) into Eq. (21), and we find

$$\int d^Dx\sqrt{-g}f^{ac}\hat{h}_{ac} = I^{(1)} + I^{(2)}, \tag{23}$$

$$I^{(1)} := \int d^Dx\, v^{(\mathrm{tl})abcd}\partial_b\partial_d(\Omega^{-2}\hat{h}_{ac}), \tag{24}$$

$$I^{(2)} := \frac{1}{D-2}\int d^Dx\, v^{ac}\Box(\Omega^{-2}\hat{h}_{ac}). \tag{25}$$

Now, the linearized Weyl tensor $\hat{C}_{abcd}(\hat{h})$ in de Sitter space is conformally related to the flat-space counterpart, $\hat{C}^{\mathrm{flat}}_{abcd}(\hat{h}^{\mathrm{flat}})$, $\hat{h}^{\mathrm{flat}}_{ab} := \Omega^{-2}\hat{h}_{ab}$, as $\hat{C}_{abcd}(\hat{h}) = \Omega^2\hat{C}^{\mathrm{flat}}_{abcd}(\hat{h}^{\mathrm{flat}})$ [34], where $\hat{C}^{\mathrm{flat}}_{abcd}(\hat{h}^{\mathrm{flat}})$ is the traceless part of the tensor defined by Eq. (10). (It is necessary to subtract the trace here because $\hat{h}^{\mathrm{flat}}$ does not satisfy the linearized Einstein equations in flat space.) Hence

$$\begin{aligned} I^{(1)} &= \kappa^{-1}\int d^Dx\, v^{(\mathrm{tl})abcd}\hat{C}^{\mathrm{flat}}_{abcd}(\Omega^{-2}\hat{h}) \\ &= \kappa^{-1}\int d^Dx\,\sqrt{-g}\,\Omega^{-D}v^{(\mathrm{tl})abcd}\hat{C}_{abcd}(\hat{h}). \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

We show next that the integral $I^{(2)}$ can also be written as an integral of $y^{abcd}\hat{C}_{abcd}(\hat{h})$ for some y^{abcd}. We note that, since $\partial_b\partial_d v^{abcd} = f^{ac}$ is traceless, the tensor $v^{ac} = \eta_{bd}v^{abcd}$ satisfies $\partial_a\partial_c v^{ac} = 0$. That is, $\partial_c v^{ac}$ is transverse. This means that $\partial_c v^{ac} = \partial_c q^{ac}$, i.e. $\partial_c(v^{ac} - q^{ac}) = 0$, where q^{ac} is antisymmetric. This implies that $v^{ac} - q^{ac} = 2\partial_b w^{abc}$, where $w^{abc} = w^{a[bc]}$. By symmetrizing this equation we obtain

$$v^{ac} = \partial_b w^{abc} + \partial_b w^{cba}. \tag{27}$$

By substituting this equation into Eq. (25) and integrating by parts we find

$$I^{(2)} = \frac{2}{D-2}\int d^Dx(\partial^c w^{dab} - \partial^d w^{cab})\partial_b\partial_d\hat{h}^{\mathrm{flat}}_{ac}. \tag{28}$$

(Note that the term $\partial^c w^{dab}$ does not contribute to the integral because $\hat{h}^{\mathrm{flat}}_{ac}$ is transverse.) Define

$$V^{abcd} := \partial^c w^{dab} - \partial^d w^{cab} + \partial^a w^{bcd} - \partial^b w^{acd}, \tag{29}$$

and let $V^{(\mathrm{tl})abcd}$ be the traceless part of V^{abcd}. The argument that led to Eq. (23) yields

$$\begin{aligned} I^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{D-2}\int d^Dx\, V^{(\mathrm{tl})abcd}\partial_b\partial_d\hat{h}^{\mathrm{flat}}_{ac} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(D-2)^2}\int d^Dx\, V^{ac}\Box\hat{h}^{\mathrm{flat}}_{ac}. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where $V^{ac} := \eta_{bd}V^{abcd}$. By integrating by parts, remembering that $\hat{h}^{\mathrm{flat}}_{ac}$ is transverse, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^Dx\, V^{ac}\Box\hat{h}^{\mathrm{flat}}_{ac} &= -\int d^Dx(\partial_b w^{acb} + \partial_b w^{cab})\Box\hat{h}^{\mathrm{flat}}_{ac} \\ &= (D-2)I^{(2)}, \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where we used Eqs. (25) and (27). By substituting this equation into Eq. (30) we find

$$\begin{aligned} I^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{D-1}\int d^Dx V^{(\mathrm{tl})abcd}\partial_b\partial_d\hat{h}^{\mathrm{flat}}_{ac} \\ &= \frac{\kappa^{-1}}{D-1}\int d^Dx\sqrt{-g}\Omega^{-D}V^{(\mathrm{tl})abcd}\hat{C}_{abcd}(\hat{h}). \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Combining this result with Eq. (26) we find

$$\int d^Dx\sqrt{-g}\,f^{ab}\hat{h}_{ab} = \int d^Dx\sqrt{-g}\,\check{v}^{abcd}\hat{C}_{abcd}(\hat{h}), \tag{33}$$

where $\check{v}^{abcd} := \kappa^{-1}\Omega^{-D}\left[v^{(\mathrm{tl})abcd} + (D-1)^{-1}V^{(\mathrm{tl})abcd}\right]$. Thus, the gauge-invariant graviton two-point function in the Poincaré patch can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} G_g(f^{(1)}, f^{(2)}) &= \int d^Dx\sqrt{-g(x)}\int d^Dx'\sqrt{-g(x')} \\ &\quad\times\check{v}^{(1)abcd}(x)\check{v}^{(2)a'b'c'd'}(x') \\ &\quad\times\langle\omega|\hat{C}_{abcd}(x)\hat{C}_{a'b'c'd'}(x')|\omega\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

From our construction of $\check{v}^{abcd}$ from f^{ab}, it is clear that the difference between the supports of $\check{v}^{abcd}$ and f^{ab} can be made arbitrarily small.

V. DISCUSSION

In this paper we showed that the gauge-invariant graviton two-point function of Ref. [27] is equivalent to the two-point function of the linearized Weyl tensor in Minkowski space and in the Poincaré patch of de Sitter space. This result is analogous to the following result for the non-interacting electromagnetic field: the gauge-invariant photon two-point function is equivalent to the two-point function of the field-strength tensor in topologically trivial, or contractible, spacetime.

Recently the Weyl-tensor two-point function in the Poincaré patch of de Sitter space has been computed by Mora and Woodard [35]. Their result agrees with that computed in the covariant gauge by Kouris [36] (with corrections to be published) [37]. This two-point function between points $(\eta, \mathbf{x})$ and $(\eta', \mathbf{x}')$ decays like $H^2\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'\|^{-4}$ as $\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'\|$ tends to infinity. Since this two-point function is equivalent to the gauge-invariant graviton two-point function as we have shown in this paper, the latter decays in the same way for large $\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'\|$. It will be interesting to investigate whether our result is compatible with the claim that the logarithmic growth of the graviton two-point function leads to physical consequences such as instability of de Sitter space. (For recent works on this subject, see, e.g., Refs. [38–40]).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dave Hunt, Don Marolf and Richard Woodard for useful discussions.

APPENDIX: GAUGE FIXING IN LINEARIZED EUCLIDEAN GRAVITY

In this Appendix we prove that the gauge conditions $x^a\gamma_{ab} = 0$ can be imposed as well as the transverse-traceless conditions on the solutions to linearized Euclidean Einstein equations in the vacuum.

First we impose the de Donder gauge condition (which can be achieved by solving a Poisson equation). The tensor $\overline{\gamma}_{ab} = \gamma_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ab}\gamma$ satisfies $\Box\overline{\gamma}_{ab} = 0$. Hence $\Box\gamma = 0$. There are no monopole solutions $\overline{\gamma}_{ab} = K_{ab}\psi(r)$, where K_{ab} is a constant tensor, to $\Box\overline{\gamma}_{ab} = 0$ satisfying the de Donder condition. This implies that γ_{ab} decays at least as fast as $r^{-(D-1)}$ for large r.

Define

$$B_a := r^2\partial_a\gamma - 2x_a x^b\partial_b\gamma - (D-2)x_a\gamma. \tag{35}$$

This vector decays at least as fast as $r^{-(D-2)}$ for large r. Then we find $\Box B_a = 0$ and $\partial_a B^a = -2\mathcal{D}_{D/2}\mathcal{D}_{D-2}\gamma$. Hence, if we let

$$A_a := -\mathcal{G}_{D-3}\mathcal{G}_{(D-2)/2}B_a, \tag{36}$$

which is well defined, then $\gamma^{(g)}_{ab} := \partial_a A_b + \partial_b A_a$ satisfies the de Donder condition and the equation $\gamma^{(g)a}{}_a = \gamma$. Thus, the trace can be gauged away. That is, γ_{ab} can now be assumed to be transverse and traceless. Then, if we define

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{ab} := \gamma_{ab} - \partial_a\partial_b(x^c x^d\mathcal{G}_1\mathcal{G}_2\gamma_{cd}), \tag{37}$$

then $x^a x^b\tilde{\gamma}_{ab} = 0$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_{ab}$ is transverse and traceless. Finally we define

$$\check{\gamma}_{ab} := \tilde{\gamma}_{ab} + \left[\partial_a(x^c\mathcal{G}_0\tilde{\gamma}_{bc}) + \partial_b(x^c\mathcal{G}_0\tilde{\gamma}_{ac})\right]. \tag{38}$$

Then we find $x^b\check{\gamma}_{ab} = 0$, $\partial^b\check{\gamma}_{ab} = 0$ and $\delta^{ab}\check{\gamma}_{ab} = 0$.

[1] A. H. Guth, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 347 (1981).

[2] A. D. Linde, Phys. Lett. **108B**, 389 (1982).

[3] A. Albrecht and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 1220 (1982).

[4] K. Sato, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **195**, 467 (1981).

[5] K. Sato, Phys. Lett. **99B**, 66 (1981).

[6] D. Kazanas, Astrophys. J. **241**, L59 (1980).

[7] L. H. Ford and L. Parker, Phys. Rev. D **16**, 1601 (1977).

[8] A. Higuchi, Nucl. Phys. **B282**, 397 (1987).

[9] B. Allen, Nucl. Phys. **B287**, 743 (1987).

[10] I. Antoniadis and E. Mottola, J. Math. Phys. **32**, 1037 (1991).

[11] A. Higuchi and S. S. Kouris, Class. Quantum Grav. **17**, 3077 (2000).

[12] G. Kleppe, Phys. Lett. **317B**, 305 (1993).

[13] A. Higuchi, D. Marolf and I. A. Morrison, Class. Quantum Grav. **28**, 245012 (2011).

[14] S. P. Miao, N. C. Tsamis and R. P. Woodard, Class. Quantum Grav. **28**, 245013 (2011).

[15] B. Allen and M. Turyn, Nucl. Phys. **292B**, 813 (1987).

[16] A. Higuchi and S. S. Kouris, Class. Quantum Grav. **18**, 4317 (2001).

[17] S. W. Hawking, T. Hertog and N. Turok, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 063502 (2000).

[18] A. Higuchi and R. H. Weeks, Class. Quantum Grav. **20**, 3005 (2003).

[19] Y. Urakawa and T. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 121301 (2010).

[20] T. Tanaka and Y. Urakawa, JCAP **1105**, 014 (2011).

[21] Y. Urakawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **126**, , 961 (2011).

[22] C. T. Byrnes, M. Gerstenlauer, A. Hebecker, S. Nurmi and G. Tasinato, JCAP **1008**, 006 (2010).

[23] M. Gerstenlauer, A. Hebecker and G. Tasinato, JCAP **1106**, 021 (2011).

[24] D. Seery, Class. Quant. Grav. **27**, 124005 (2010).

[25] J. Garriga and T. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 024021 (2008).

[26] N. C. Tsamis and R. P. Woodard, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 028501 (2008).

[27] C. J. Fewster and D. S. Hunt, "Quantization of linearized gravity in vacuum cosmological spacetimes," arXiv:1203.0261 [math-ph].

[28] J. Dimock, Rev. Math. Phys. **4**, 223 (1992).

[29] C. J. Fewster and M. J. Pfenning, J. Math. Phys. **44**, 4480 (2003).

[30] J. L. Friedman, Commun. Math. Phys. **62**, 247 (1978).

[31] R. M. Wald and A. Zoupas, Phys. Rev. D61, 084027 (2000).

[32] B. S. Kay and R. M. Wald, Phys. Reports **207**, 49 (1991).

[33] R. M. Wald, "Quantum field theory in curved space-time and black hole thermodynamics," (University of Chicago, Chicago, 1995).

[34] R. M. Wald, "General Relativity," (University of Chicago, Chicago, 1984).

[35] P. J. Mora and R. P. Woodard, "linearized Weyl-Weyl correlator in a de Sitter breaking gauge," arXiv:1202.0999 [gr-qc].

[36] S. S. Kouris, Class. Quantum Grav. **18**, 4961 (2001).

[37] P. J. Mora and R. P. Woodard, private communication.

[38] S. B. Giddings and M. S. Sloth, JCAP **1101**, 023 (2011).

[39] S. B. Giddings and M. S. Sloth, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 063528 (2011).

[40] S. B. Giddings and M. S. Sloth, "Fluctuating geometries, q-observables, and infrared growth in inflationary spacetimes," arXiv:1109.1000 [hep-th].